

## Lecture 6 Injective Modules

I'm done w/ Rotman's notation;  
will use mine instead.

- last week, we saw how projective modules are exactly those  $P \in R\text{-Mod}$  that make the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(P, -)$  exact.
- $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$  is a covariant functor:

$$\text{If } A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B$$

then the induced map

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Hom}_R(M, A) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, B) \\ [f: M \rightarrow A] &\longmapsto [\varphi f: M \rightarrow B]\end{aligned}$$

points in the same direction.

defn. let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  be categories, and let  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  functor.

let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$  where  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ .

① If  $F(\varphi) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(A), F(B))$ , then  $F$  is covariant.

② If  $F(\varphi) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(B), F(A))$ , then  $F$  is contravariant.

e.g.  $\text{Hom}_R(-, M)$  \* note these are  $R$ -maps  $\xrightarrow{\cong} M$ .

let  $A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B$  be an  $R$ -module map.  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(A, B)$

Now consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\text{Hom}_R(A, M) & \xleftarrow{\varphi^*(g)} & \text{Hom}_R(B, M) \\ [g\varphi: A \rightarrow M] & \xleftarrow{\quad} & [g: B \rightarrow M]\end{array}$$

upper stars to indicate  
induced map when using  
contravariant  $\text{Hom}$

$$\varphi^*(g) = g\varphi, \text{ by precomposition: } A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \xrightarrow{g} M$$

We will use this  $\text{Hom}$  functor to define injective modules.

Exercise  $\text{Hom}_R(-, M)$  is left exact: same as  $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$

If  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \rightarrow 0$  is exact,

then  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, M) \xrightarrow{\beta^*} \text{Hom}_R(B, M) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \text{Hom}_R(A, M)$

is exact.

(HW03)

defn.  $Q \in R\text{-Mod}$  is injective if

for any  $A, B \in R\text{-Mod}$  and injective map  $\alpha: A \hookrightarrow B$

if  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B$  is exact,

and for any  $f: A \rightarrow Q$ ,

there exists a lift  $\tilde{f}$  making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B \\ & & f \downarrow & \swarrow \tilde{f} & \\ & & Q & & \end{array}$$

While injective modules are not as easy to characterize as projective modules, we still have three equivalent definitions.

prop Let  $Q \in R\text{-Mod}$ . TFAE

- ①  $Q$  is injective by the defn above
- ②  $\text{Hom}_R(-, Q)$  is exact

} HW03: can only use these as defn!

- ③ If  $Q$  is a submodule of  $M \in R\text{-Mod}$ , then  $Q$  is a

direct summand of  $M$ . In other words,

any exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  splits

Cor.  $\text{Hom}_R(-, Q)$  is exact iff  $Q$  is injective.

Maybe  
discuss  
after  
Baer's  
criterion

Pf.

$\Leftrightarrow$  (actually)

①  $\Rightarrow$  ② (Actually a short proof, but let's recall what's already known.)

Let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \rightarrow 0$  be exact.

Recall that  $\text{Hom}_R(-, Q)$  is left exact. (HW03)

So WTS that  $\text{Hom}_R(-, Q)$  is right exact.

We only need to show exactness here

WTS the following sequence is exact.

Show exactness here on HW03!

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Hom}_R(C, Q) & \xrightarrow{\beta^*} & \text{Hom}_R(B, Q) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} & \text{Hom}_R(A, Q) & \rightarrow 0 \\ [g: C \rightarrow Q] & \longmapsto & [g\beta: B \rightarrow C \rightarrow Q] & & & \\ & & [h: B \rightarrow Q] & \longmapsto & [h\alpha: A \rightarrow B \rightarrow Q] & & \end{array}$$

Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(A, Q)$ .

By assumption,  $\exists f \in \text{Hom}_R(B, Q)$

s.t.  $\tilde{f}\alpha = f$ .

$\Rightarrow \alpha^*$  is surjective

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B \\ & & f \downarrow & \swarrow \tilde{f} & \\ & & Q & & \end{array}$$

②  $\Rightarrow$  ⑧ Assume  $\text{Hom}_R(-, Q)$  is exact; WTS any

$0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  splits.

may be after Baer.

Let  $0 \rightarrow Q \xrightarrow{\nu} M \xrightarrow{\pi} N \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence.

$$f = \text{id}_Q \downarrow \quad \exists \tilde{f} \quad \Rightarrow \tilde{f}\nu = \text{id}_Q.$$

$\Rightarrow \tilde{f}$  is a splitting homomorphism  $\Rightarrow$  SES splits.

(Recall split SES?) (left split / right split - splitting lemma?)

$$0 \rightarrow Q \xrightarrow{\nu} M \xrightarrow{\pi} N \rightarrow 0$$

③  $\Rightarrow$  ① need more tech.

Thm. Every module is contained in an injective module.

Compare: every  $M \in R\text{-Mod}$  is a quotient of a projective  
(actually, free!) module. Prove on HW04! (Start early!)

\* there's a notion of the smallest, "best" injective to stick a module in:  
"injective hull".

---

③  $\Rightarrow$  ① Suppose  $D$  is a module s.t. every SES

$$0 \rightarrow D \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0 \text{ splits.}$$

Prop. (HW03)  $Q_1 \oplus Q_2$  inj iff both  $Q_i$  inj.

By Thm,  $D \subset Q$  where  $Q$  is an injective  $R$ -mod

$$0 \rightarrow D \rightarrow Q \rightarrow Q/D \rightarrow 0 \text{ is exact}$$

By assumption, this splits  $\Rightarrow Q \cong D \oplus Q/D$   
 $\Rightarrow D$  is injective.

11

Note: Injective modules are harder to characterize than projective.

When  $R$  is a PID, we have some easier criteria to check:

Prop.: Let  $Q \in R\text{-Mod}$ .

① (Baer's Criterion)  $Q$  is injective iff

$\forall$  left ideal  $I \subset R$ ,

any  $R$ -hom  $g: I \rightarrow Q$  can be extended to  
an  $R$ -hom  $G: R \rightarrow Q$ .

} Real left ideals  
 $I \in R\text{-Mod}$ .

② If  $R$  is a PID, then  $Q$  is injective iff

$rQ = Q$  for every nonzero  $r \in R$ .

↪ In particular, a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $A \in Ab$  is injective iff

it is divisible, i.e.  $A = nA$   $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $n \neq 0$

↑  
ie divisible  
by all reasonable  
 $n$

③ When  $R$  is a PID, quotient modules of injective  $R$ -mods are also injective.

---

Before we discuss the proof, consider examples:

①  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not divisible  $\Rightarrow$  not injective as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -mod.

( $\Rightarrow$  free modules are not necessarily injective!)

②  $\mathbb{Q}$  is divisible, as are all quotients of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , e.g.  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ .

③  $\oplus$  of divisible  $\mathbb{Z}$ -mods is divisible ( $\Rightarrow \oplus$  of any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -mods is inj.)

④ Turns out no nonzero finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -mod is injective.  
(use classification) **Hw04**

⑤ If  $R = F$  a field, then every  $F$ -mod (i.e.  $F$ -VS) is injective

Cor. Every  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-mod}$  is a submodule of an injective  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-mod}$ .

(will need this to show every  $R\text{-mod}$  is a submodule of an injective  $R\text{-mod}$ ).

Pf.

Let  $M \in \mathbb{Z}\text{-mod}$ ,  $B = \text{set of } \mathbb{Z}\text{-mod generators for } M$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F} = F(B) = \text{free } \mathbb{Z}\text{-mod on } B$ .

$\Rightarrow$  SES  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  (Identify  $M = \mathcal{F}/K$ )

Let  $Q \leftarrow \text{mathcal{Q}}(B)$  be the free  $\mathbb{Q}\text{-mod on } B$ .

$\Rightarrow Q \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow Q \text{ is divisible} \Rightarrow Q \text{ is injective.}$

Note that  $Q$  contains  $\mathcal{F}$ , which in turn contains  $K$ .

$\Rightarrow K$  is also a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of  $Q \Rightarrow Q/K$  is injective (by ③ in prop)

$\Rightarrow M = \mathcal{F}/K \subseteq Q/K$  where  $Q/K$  is injective.  $\square$

HW04: Prove this for general  $R\text{-modules}$ .

Compare this to: Every  $R\text{-mod}$  is a quotient of a projective  
(in fact free)  $R\text{-mod}$ .

Pf. of prop. (Baer's Criterion, + more) (Next time!)