

lecture 12

Aside: localization

e.g. Prototypical example: $R = \mathbb{Z}$. (let $D = \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$.)

D is multiplicatively closed: $1 \in D$, and $a, b \in D \Rightarrow ab \in D$.

"denominators"

$$\Rightarrow D^{-1}\mathbb{Z} = \text{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Q}.$$

In general, if R is a commutative ring,

and D is a multiplicatively closed subset of R ,

then $D^{-1}R$ is the ring of fractions of R w/ D

or the localization of R at D .

what I always say

Explicitly, $D^{-1}R = R \times D / \sim$ where

$$(r, d) \sim (s, e) \text{ iff } \exists x \in D \text{ such that } x(er - ds) = 0$$

Just think fractions: if all $r, d, s, e \in \mathbb{Z}$ then

$$\frac{r}{d} \sim \frac{s}{e} \text{ iff } er - ds = 0.$$

But if R isn't an integral domain, it may have zero divisors.

$D^{-1}R$ is a ring: add + multiply as you would w/ fractions.

Now you can also localize an R -module at D :

$$M \in R\text{-mod} \rightsquigarrow D^{-1}R \otimes_R M.$$

(Aside also?) Tensor Product of R -algebras.

Let k be a commutative ring.

Then a ring R is a k -algebra if

R is a k -module and

scalars in k commute with everything.

e.g. • polynomial algebras: $k[X]$, $k[X]/X^n$

• or a noncommutative one:

$k[X, Y]$ where $YX = -XY$.

• $k[G]$

• every R is a \mathbb{Z} -algebra

• if $k \subset Z(R)$ (center) then R is a k -alg.

Prop. If $k \in \text{ComRing}$, $A, B \in k\text{-alg}$, then

$A \otimes_k B$ is also a k -alg by $(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = aa' \otimes bb'$.

Prop Let $R, S \in k\text{-alg}$.

Then every (R, S) -bimodule M is a left

$R \otimes_k S^{\text{op}}$ -module, where $(r \otimes s)m = rms$.

P.S. Use notion of bilinearity in k ..

Tensor-Hom adjunction

defn. Let $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be covariant functors.

A natural transformation is a family of morphisms
 $\tau = (\tau_c : F(c) \rightarrow G(c))_{c \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{C})}$ such that

this diagram commutes for all $f : c \rightarrow c'$ in \mathcal{C} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(c) & \xrightarrow{Ff} & F(c') \\ \downarrow \tau_c & \circ & \downarrow \tau_{c'} \\ G(c) & \xrightarrow{Gf} & G(c') \end{array}$$

If each τ_c is an isomorphism, then τ is a natural isom.
 and F and G are naturally isomorphic functors.

e.g. Let k be a field and let $V \in \text{Vect}_k = k\text{-Mod}$

Recall $V^* = \text{Hom}_k(V, k)$ is the dual VS.

The evaluation map $\text{ev}_v : f \mapsto f(v)$ is a linear functional on V^* , ie

$$\text{ev}_v \in (V^*)^* = V^{**}.$$

Define $\tau_V : V \rightarrow V^{**}$
 $v \mapsto \text{ev}_v$

① τ is a natural transformation from

$F = \text{id}$ functor on Vect_k to

$G = \text{double dual functor on } \text{Vect}_k$.

② When restricted to the subcategory of FDVect $_k$, τ is a natural isomorphism.

Two more examples

① prop. Recall $\varPhi_M: R \otimes M \xrightarrow{\cong} M$
 $f \mapsto f(0)$

These maps $\varPhi = (\varPhi_M)_{M \in R\text{-Mod}}$ form a natural isom $\text{Hom}_R(R, -) \longrightarrow \text{id}_{R\text{-Mod}}$.

pf. Check the naturality diagram commutes:

Suppose $h \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_R(R, M) & \xrightarrow{h_*} & \text{Hom}_R(R, N) \\ \varPhi_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \varPhi_N \\ M & \xrightarrow{h} & N \end{array} \quad //$$

② prop. Recall $\Theta_M: R \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{\cong} M$
 $r \otimes m \mapsto rm$

These $\Theta = (\Theta_M)_{M \in R\text{-Mod}}$ form a natural isom from $(R \otimes_R -) \longrightarrow \text{id}_{R\text{-Mod}}$.

Pf. Again, say $h: M \rightarrow N$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R \otimes_R M & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes h} & R \otimes_R N \\ \Theta_M \downarrow & \alpha & \downarrow \Theta_N \\ M & \xrightarrow{h} & N \end{array}$$

thm. Let R, S be rings.

Given modules $A_R, {}_R B_S, {}_S C$ in the appropriate categories.

There is an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\tau_{A,B,C} : \text{Hom}_S(A \otimes_R B, C) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, \text{Hom}_S(B, C)). \quad \textcircled{P}$$

$$[f: A \otimes_R B \longrightarrow C] \mapsto (f_a^*: B \longrightarrow C)_{a \in A}$$
$$b \mapsto f(a \otimes b)$$

the following agrees w/ the above.

Indeed, if we fix two out of three in $\{A, B, C\}$,

the maps $\tau_{A,B,C}$ constitute natural isomorphisms

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \text{Hom}_S(- \otimes_R B, C) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(-, \text{Hom}_S(B, C))$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{Hom}_S(A \otimes_R -, C) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, \text{Hom}_S(-, C))$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \text{Hom}_S(A \otimes_R B, -) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, \text{Hom}_S(B, -))$$

Let's not prove this today; let's discuss the significance.

If we define the functors

$$F = - \otimes_R B \quad \text{and} \quad G = \text{Hom}_S(B, -),$$

$$F: \text{Mod}_R \longrightarrow \text{Mod}_S \quad G: \text{Mod}_S \longrightarrow \text{Mod}_R.$$

then we can rewrite the above \textcircled{P} as

$$\tau: \text{Hom}_S(FA, C) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, GC)$$