

LECTURE 5

Xuxing cover

Olson Hall 2:10-3:00 pm

(no review problem today - no "in-class work")

Matrix Norm

Given any vector norm $\|\cdot\|$, we can define an associated operator norm

note "Operator": does an operation. Matrix operates on vectors, matrices

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{eg. "Row operation"} \\ \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ a+c & b+d \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right\} \text{covered on Monday}$$

defn. $\|A\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|}$ "What's the most A stretches a vector?"

② Supremum - may need to review

ex. Check that matrix norm is really a norm (vector norm)

- $\|A\| \geq 0 \quad \forall A, \quad \|A\| = 0 \text{ iff } A = 0$
- $\|\alpha A\| = |\alpha| \|A\|, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$
- $\|A+B\| \leq \|A\| + \|B\|$ (triangle inequality)

prop 2.1 (a) $\|Ax\| \leq \|A\| \|x\|$ and (b) $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$

Pf. (a) $\|A\| := \sup_{y \neq 0} \frac{\|Ay\|}{\|y\|} \geq \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|}$

(b) $\|ABx\| \leq \|A\| \|Bx\| \leq \|A\| \|B\| \|x\|$

$\Rightarrow \frac{\|ABx\|}{\|x\|} \leq \|A\| \|B\|$ for all x .

$\Rightarrow \|AB\| := \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|ABx\|}{\|x\|} \leq \|A\| \|B\|$



Notable Matrix Norms:

- $\|A\|_2 = \left(\max_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \lambda_i(A^T A) \right)^{1/2}$
= square root of the largest eigenvalue of $A^T A$
= biggest "stretch" in any direction

* Why are all eigenvalues of $A^T A$ nonnegative? ($\lambda_i \geq 0$)

- If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ (m rows, n columns)

- $\|A\|_1 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}|$
sum of abs. values of entries in column j

* Why?

- $\|A\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|$
sum of abs. values of entries in row i

* Why?

Another matrix norm: the Frobenius Norm ($A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ again)

$$\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2}$$

i.e., just the $\|\cdot\|_2$ -norm if we view $A \in \mathbb{R}^{mn}$

In fact, this is equivalent to

$$= \|A\|_F^2 = \text{tr}(A^T A).$$

* Why?

Recall that the trace $\text{tr}(B)$ of a square matrix $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

is the sum of the diagonal entries:

$$\text{tr}(B) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ii}$$

* This doesn't come from a vector norm. (So we don't consider it an operator norm.)

Quick Review: Linear Independence, Bases

Let $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be a set of vectors $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot \text{span}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k) &= \left\{ y \mid y = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i v_i \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i v_i \mid \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for all } i \right\} \end{aligned}$$

- The set of vectors $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is linearly independent if $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i v_i = 0$ iff $\alpha_i = 0 \forall i$.

In other words, there is no way to write v_j as a linear combo of the $\{v_i \mid i \neq j\}$.

- If $n > m$ (#vectors in the set $>$ dim of vector space), then the set of vectors is necessarily linearly dependent.

(by the pigeonhole principle)

- A basis for \mathbb{R}^m is a set of m linearly independent vectors. $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^m$
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m = \text{span}(\{b_i\}_{i=1}^m)$,

ie all vectors $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ can be written as linear combo of the vectors b_1, \dots, b_m .

eg. If you are given vectors as signals

(eg. samples of handwriting of the digit "5")

- The space of possible signals is very high-dimensional
- In your samples, the size of the largest set of "almost linearly independent vectors"

tells you how much variety there is in the way people write "5".

- "almost" because in practice, we usually are ok with not having "perfect" or "exact" linear dependence.