# MAT 108: Problem Set 2

### (ADD NAME)

Due 1/24/23 at 11:59 pm on Canvas

#### **Reminders:**

- Put your name at the top!
- You will receive feedback on PS1 by next Tuesday, 1/24. PS1 revisions are due Friday, 1/27 at 11:59 pm.
- Dr. Zhang will be away all of next week (no instructor office hours). I will be in Germany, where the time is 9 hours ahead of California. You may email me your questions, and I will respond once daily as usual. The TA will still hold his office hours.

**Another reminder** Figuring out how to prove something should feel like doing a puzzle. Writing down and expressing your proof should feel like you're trying to write in a new language. Trust the process!

How much detail is needed? In PS2, you no longer need to cite the axioms / propositions from Chapter 1. For example, it's clear to the audience (e.g. your classmates) that -0 = 0.

On the other hand, in Chapter 2, we defined the natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  using a set of axioms that are not very obvious to your peers. You should cite these axioms as you use them.

## Exercise 1

Prove that  $1 \in \mathbb{N}$  via a proof by contradiction. Then, deduce that that if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $n+1 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Remark.** The phrase *deduce that* here is indicating that the second statement follows quite immediately from the first.

#### SOLUTION.

### Exercise 2

**Definition.** Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $m - n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we say *n* is less than *m*, and write n < m. We also say *m* is greater than *n*, and write m > n.

#### Prove that there exists no integer x such that 0 < x < 1.

#### SOLUTION.

## Exercise 3

Use induction to prove that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following formula holds:

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \ldots + (n - 1) + n = \frac{n(n + 1)}{2}.$$

## Exercise 4

**Definition.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . If the only  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k \mid p$  are k = 1 and k = p, then p is prime.

#### Prove that there are infinitely many prime numbers.

**Remark.** We haven't rigorously discussed the term *infinite* just yet. We will discuss cardinality in detail in a few weeks. For now, *infinite* means *not finite*.

You should begin by assuming there are finitely many prime numbers, so that you can label them  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots, p_n$  for some finite  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then try to derive a contradiction.

You may use the following Lemma without proof:

**Lemma.** Let p be a prime number, and let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . If p divides m, then p does not divide m + 1.

(Proving this lemma will be easier once we've developed the language of *modular arithmetic* later on in the course.)

SOLUTION.